

On a certain lower bound to the effective potential of  $\lambda \phi^4$ 

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1997 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 L173 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/30/7/005)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.112 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 06:14

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

#### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# On a certain lower bound to the effective potential of $\lambda \phi^4$

Marnix Coppens, Henri Verschelde, Sigurd Schelstraete and Mark Vanderkelen<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematical Physics and Astronomy, Krijgslaan 281-S9, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

Received 17 December 1996

**Abstract.** The lower bound to the effective potential of the  $\lambda \phi^4$  field theory obtained by Caswell and Tarrach is shown to be identical to the saddle-point approximation of the path integral in the auxiliary field representation. The accuracy of this approximation is shown to be low.

## 1. Introduction

In two recent publications [3, 5], an analytical lower bound to the effective potential of  $\lambda \phi^4$  in *d* spacetime dimensions was obtained. The reasoning is very simple and is quickly reconstructed here: if  $\lambda$  is positive, then we have for every  $\phi$  and every positive *M* the following inequality

$$\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4 \ge \frac{M^2}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M^2 - m^2)^2}{\lambda}$$
(1)

which has a simple geometrical explanation [3]. In a short notation, we can write

$$V(\phi) \geqslant V(\phi, M). \tag{2}$$

If we now take an arbitrary wavefunction  $|\phi\rangle$ , normalized to unity and centred around the constant field  $\varphi$ , we then have the inequality

$$\langle \phi | \hat{H} | \phi \rangle > \langle \phi | \hat{H} | \phi \rangle \tag{3}$$

with  $\hat{H}$  and  $\underline{\hat{H}}$  the Hamiltonians corresponding to V and V, respectively. Both expectation values in (3) lie above their respective minimum, and it is easy to see that

$$\min_{\psi} \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle > \min_{\psi} \langle \psi | \underline{\hat{H}} | \psi \rangle \tag{4}$$

where we minimize over all  $\psi$ 's subject to the above-mentioned constraints. The right-hand side is simply the ground-state energy of a harmonic oscillator with mass M, shifted by a constant. If we extract the volume of space, we get the quantity

$$E(\varphi, M) = I_1(M) + \frac{M^2}{2}\varphi^2 - \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M^2 - m^2)}{\lambda}$$
(5)

with

$$I_1(M) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \sqrt{p^2 + M^2}.$$
 (6)

† Research assistant NFWO.

0305-4470/97/070173+04\$19.50 © 1997 IOP Publishing Ltd L173

The left-hand side of (4), per unit space-volume, is the standard definition of the effective potential in field theory, and (4) then becomes

$$V_{\rm eff}(\varphi) > E(\varphi, M). \tag{7}$$

This is valid for all positive M, so we take the maximum to find

$$V_{\rm eff}(\varphi) > \underline{V}_{\rm eff}(\varphi)$$
 (8)

with

$$V_{\rm eff}(\varphi) = \max_{M} E(\varphi, M). \tag{9}$$

Using (5) and (6), this yields the following equation for the best value of M:

$$\frac{\lambda}{6}[I_0(M) + \varphi^2] = M^2 - m^2 \tag{10}$$

with

$$I_0(M) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{p}^2 + M^2}}.$$
(11)

# 2. Alternative derivation

We can now link these results to the well known formulation of  $\lambda \phi^4$  using an auxiliary field [2]. We start from the generating functional [8]:

$$Z[J] = \int \mathbf{D}\phi \exp\left[-S(\phi) + \int J \cdot \phi\right]$$
(12)

with the Euclidean action in d dimensions given by

$$S(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi(-\Box + m^2)\phi + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int \phi^4.$$
 (13)

The resulting quartic term in the integrand in (12) can be written as follows

$$\exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{4!}\int\phi^4\right] = \int D\sigma \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int\sigma^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\int\sigma\cdot\phi^2\right]$$
(14)

where

$$\alpha^2 = -\frac{\lambda}{3}.\tag{15}$$

This yields the path integral

$$Z[J] = \int D\sigma D\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int \phi(-\Box + m^2 + \alpha\sigma)\phi - \frac{1}{2}\int \sigma^2 + \int J \cdot \phi\right].$$
 (16)

We can then perform the saddle-point approximation to obtain the one-loop approximation to the effective action. This is a straightforward textbook exercise [8] and we find

$$\Gamma^{1\ell}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi(-\Box + m^2 + \alpha \sigma)\phi + \frac{1}{2} \int \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln(-\Box + m^2 + \alpha \sigma)$$
(17)

with  $\sigma$  such that (17) is stationary with respect to  $\sigma$ . The effective potential is the value of the effective action for a constant field, per unit spacetime volume. We thus have

$$V_{\rm eff}^{1\ell}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(m^2 + \alpha\sigma)\varphi^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d}\ln(p^2 + m^2 + \alpha\sigma).$$
(18)

We can rewrite this in terms of the new parameter

$$M^2 \equiv m^2 + \alpha \sigma \tag{19}$$

which gives us

$$V_{\rm eff}^{1\ell}(\varphi) = \frac{M^2}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{M^2 - m^2}{\alpha}\right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(p^2 + M^2).$$
(20)

This still has to be minimized with respect to  $M^2$  (instead of  $\sigma$ ). This yields the condition

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{M^2 - m^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + M^2} = 0$$
(21)

or, using (15),

$$M^{2} - m^{2} = \frac{\lambda}{6}\varphi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{6}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}p}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{p^{2} + M^{2}}.$$
(22)

Since  $p^2 = p_0^2 + p^2$ , with p a momentum vector in d-1 space dimensions, we can integrate over  $p_0$  in (22) to obtain

$$M^{2} - m^{2} = \frac{\lambda}{6}\varphi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{6} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{2} + M^{2}}} \right]$$
(23)

which is identical with equation (10). Furthermore, as regards the effective potential itself, we can integrate over  $p_0$  in the last term in (20) and use (15) again on the second term to obtain

$$V_{\rm eff}^{1\ell}(\varphi) = \frac{M^2}{2}\varphi^2 - \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M^2 - m^2)^2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\sqrt{p^2 + M^2}$$
(24)

which agrees with  $V_{\text{eff}}(\varphi)$  in (5). This shows the equivalence of both methods. The advantage of the path integral method is that it can be easily extended to higher-order calculations while the approach in [3] and [5] cannot. The link between the inequality (1) and the saddle-point approximation (16) and (17) can be directly made through the use of path integrals. We can use the parametrization (19) to rewrite (1) as

$$\exp -V(\phi) \leqslant \exp \left[m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{\alpha \sigma_0 \phi^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2}\right]$$
(25)

valid for all purely imaginary  $\sigma_0$ . This implies directly that

$$Z[J] \leq \int \mathbf{D}\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int \phi(-\Box + m^2 + \alpha\sigma_0)\phi - \frac{1}{2}\int \sigma_0^2 + \int J \cdot \phi\right].$$
 (26)

It is easy to show that (24) is not even correct to first order in  $\lambda$  for small coupling. A bit of algebra shows that (22) and (20) generate, for  $\varphi = 0$ , the following term of order  $\lambda$  in  $V_{\text{eff}}^{1\ell}$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda}{24} [I_0(m)]^2 \tag{27}$$

while the correct contribution to  $V_{\text{eff}}$  should be three times as large, as seen from applying perturbation theory. The strong coupling regime,  $\lambda \to \infty$ , is not well described either. In the (0 + 1)-dimensional case (quantum mechanics), all integrals in (20) and (22) are finite, and a simple calculation produces the following scaling behaviour for  $V_{\text{eff}}^{1\ell}(0)$  (which is an estimate to the ground-state energy):

$$E_0^{1\ell} \to 0.472\,470 \left(\frac{\lambda}{4!}\right)^{1/3} \qquad \lambda \to \infty \tag{28}$$

# L176 Letter to the Editor

which is 30% lower than the well known result [1] obtained through high-precision methods. The deviations on these two coefficients (for small  $\lambda$  and for big  $\lambda$ ) are of course a direct consequence of the lower bound property.

## 3. Conclusion

We have shown that the lower bound to the effective potential of  $\lambda \phi^4$  field theory coincides with the saddle-point approximation in the  $\sigma$ -field representation. It is straightforward to calculate corrections to this lower bound systematically, although it is no longer guaranteed that it remains a lower bound. On the other hand, the Gaussian effective potential provides an upper bound and here also, there are ways of systematically calculating corrections using either optimized perturbation theory [4] or a variational method for  $\lambda \phi^4$  based on an effective action for local composite operators [6, 7]. An intriguing possibility would be that combining both approaches in some way might provide a fastly converging non-perturbative method in quantum field theory.

## References

- [1] Caswell W E 1979 Ann. Phys., NY 123 153
- [2] Coleman S 1979 1/N, in pointlike structures insides and outsides hadrons *Erice Lectures of 1979* ed A Zichichi (New York: Plenum)
- [3] Muñoz-Tapia R and Tarrach R 1991 Phys. Lett. 256B 50
- [4] Stancu I 1991 Phys. Rev. D 43 1283
- Stancu I and Stevenson P 1990 Phys. Rev. D 42 2710
- [5] Tarrach R 1991 Phys. Lett. 262B 294
- [6] Vanderkelen M, Schelstraete S and Verschelde H 1997 Z. Phys. to be published
- [7] Verschelde H and Coppens M 1992 Phys. Lett. 295B 83
- [8] Zinn-Justin J 1989 Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (Oxford: Oxford University Press)